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Meeting Agenda

• Comments from Provost
• Review of all current program review documentation
• Discussion between Deans and Unit Chairs
• Address any questions about the process
APR Summer Activities

- Orientation Sessions for Committee Members
- Faculty Senate Forum
- Program leadership given the opportunity to revise department and program surveys from May 2, 2011 to August 15, 2011
- Meeting with Department Chairs, Heads, Program Directors, Faculty Senate Leadership and Deans
- Discussion of Program Review Process with BOT
- Issues regarding accuracy of quantitative data addressed at Deans Retreat
APR Summer Activities (continued)

- External consultant checked data for accuracy
- Definitions and meaning of data clarified by Data Definitions Workgroup
- Deans working with unit program review committees to clarify relative importance of data and to develop review procedures
- Efficiency Data Committee submitted report
- BOT given update about process
- Program leadership submitted survey responses
Faculty Senate Chairs Involved

- Dr. Josh Hoffman
- Dr. John Gamble
- Dr. Ben Ramsey
- Dr. Kathy Crowe
- Dr. Laurie Kennedy-Malone
- Dr. Dan Winkler
- Dr. Samuel Miller
- Dr. John Lepri
- Dr. Rebecca Adams
- Dr. Eileen Kohlenberg
- Dr. Anna Marshall-Baker
Data Definitions Committee

- Dr. Sarah Carrigan (Chair, Director of Institutional Research)
  - Dr. Sevil Sonmez (Recreation, Tourism & Hospitality Management, Head)
  - Dr. Gary Rosenkrantz (Philosophy, Head)
  - Dr. Jeff Patton (Geography, Head)
  - Dr. Daniel Perlman (Human Development & Family Studies, Chair)
  - Dr. Debbie Kipp (Nutrition, Chair)
  - Dr. Kelly Burke (Music Performance, Chair)
Efficiency Data Committee

- Dr. Alan Boyette (Chair, Vice Provost)
  - Dr. Sarah Carrigan (Director, Institutional Research)
  - Mr. Stephen Honeycutt (Financial Planning & Budgets)
  - Dr. Anne Wallace (English)
  - Dr. Daniel Bibeau (Public Health Education)
  - Dr. Edward Arrington (Accounting & Finance)
  - Dr. John Deal (Dean, School of MTD)
  - Dr. Timothy Johnston (Dean, College of Arts & Sciences)
  - Dr. William Brown (Accounting & Finance)
Summary of Modifications to the Process

• Revised the purpose statement
• Expanded the UPRC to include members from the sciences and humanities
• Charged Deans to review relevance of criteria with respective academic units
• Removed requirement that unit-level committees place all programs into three groups of equal numbers
Summary of Modifications to the Process (continued)

• Extended the timeline
• Decided to exclude Efficiency Data from academic program review process
Key Dates Moving Forward

- August 25, 2011—Meeting of Unit-Level Review Committee Chairs (Provost/Associate Provost for Planning & Assessment)
- September 1, 2011 through November 24, 2011—Unit Level Review (Unit Program Review Committees)
- September 14 or 15, 2011—Discussion of Program Review Process with BOT or Academic Affairs/Student Affairs Committee of BOT (Provost/Associate Provost)
- November 28, 2011—Report of Unit Level Committees made available to UNCG Community (Associate Provost for Planning & Assessment)
Key Dates Moving Forward (continued)

- December 1 or 2, 2011—Discussion of Program Review Process with BOT or Academic Affairs/Student Affairs Committee of BOT (Provost)
- December 5, 2011 through March 1, 2012—University Level Review (University Program Review Committee)
- March 1, 2012 through March 15, 2012—Recommendations presented to Faculty Senate, Staff Senate, SGA and GSA (University Program Review Committee)
Key Dates Moving Forward (continued)

- March 15, 2012 through March 31, 2012-Auxiliary responses to recommendations of UPRC due to the OIR (Faculty Senate, Staff Senate, SGA, GSA, affected administrative officers and faculties)
- March 31, 2012-Auxiliary responses forwarded to the Provost (Office of Institutional Research)
- April 15, 2012-Recommendations proposing actions taken regarding each program review forwarded to Chancellor (Provost)
Key Dates Moving Forward (continued)

- Spring 2012-Chancellor makes recommendations to UNC President and Board of Governors (Chancellor)
- Spring 2012-SACS notification begins (Office of Planning & Assessment)
Program Review Process Workflow

Office of Institutional Research provides program and department profiles → Departments respond to surveys → Reviews by the College and schools → University Program Review Committee → Reports to BOT/Shared Governance → Provost recommends to Chancellor → Chancellor makes decisions → GSC & UCC → BOG and SACS approvals
Campuses Known to be Discussing Our Process

- East Carolina University
- North Carolina State University
- Guilford College (transparency component)
- Boise State University
Thank you. Questions?